

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/815,590	03/23/2001	Michael James Burk	41556/04720 (RSI1P066)	5562	
22428	7590 10/21/2003		EXAMINER		
FOLEY AND LARDNER			ZEENDER, FLORIAN M		
SUITE 500 3000 K STREET NW			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	ON, DC 20007		3627		

DATE MAILED: 10/21/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Amplica	ation No.	Applicant(s)	<del></del>
		ition No.	Applicant(s)	7
Office Action Summar	09/815,		BURK ET AL.	<u> </u>
· Office Action Summar			Art Unit	
Th MAILING DATE of this com	l	Zeender	3627	
Period for Reply	munication appears on t	n coversn twiti		33
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMM  - Extensions of time may be available under the provafter SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this if the period for reply specified above is less than the fNO period for reply is specified above, the maxim  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for Any reply received by the Office later than three more arrived patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704  Status	MUNICATION.  risions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no a communication.  nirty (30) days, a reply within the si dum statutory period will apply and reply will, by statute, cause the a conths after the mailing date of this	event, however, may a rep tatutory minimum of thirty ( I will expire SIX (6) MONTH pplication to become ABAI	ly be timely filed 30) days will be considered timely. HS from the mailing date of this comm NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	unication.
1) Responsive to communication	(s) filed on <u>30 May 2003</u>	<u>3</u> .		
2a) This action is FINAL.	2b)⊠ This action	is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in conclosed in accordance with the Disposition of Claims	dition for allowance exce practice under <i>Ex parte</i>	ept for formal matte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	ers, prosecution as to the n 11, 453 O.G. 213	nerits is
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> is/are pending in	the application.			
4a) Of the above claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from o	consideration.	and a some and the second of t	fry
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			s etc. A to the	
6)⊠ · Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> is/are rejected.				
7) Claim(s) is/are objected	lo.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to re	estriction and/or election	requirement.	State of the state	ten out
Application Papers				
The specification is objected to b				
10) $\boxtimes$ The drawing(s) filed on <u>16 July 2</u>				
Applicant may not request that ar				
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction			approved by the Examiner.	. ' .
If approved, corrected drawings a	, , , ,	Office action.		
12) The oath or declaration is object	_			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			4.60 × (1) 1.70	
Acknowledgment is made of a c		under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None				
1. Certified copies of the pri			, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
2. Certified copies of the pri				
	nternational Bureau (PC	T Rule 17.2(a)).	eceived in this National Sta eceived.	ige
14)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a cla	aim for domestic priority	under 35 U.S.C. §	119(e) (to a provisional ap	plication).
a) ☐ The translation of the foreig 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a cl				
Attachment(s)		_		
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Reviols</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-14)</li> </ol>			ummary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). formal Patent Application (PTO-1	

Application/Control Number: 09/815,590

Art Unit: 3627

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Objections

Claims 5, 11, and 17 are objected to because of the following informalities: The are duplicate claims of claims 4, 10, and 16 respectively. Appropriate correction is required.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Freeman '557 in view of Shavit et al. '156 and further in view of Official Notice.

Freeman disclose or inherently teach all the limitations of the claims (see specifically column 5, lines 43-63; and Col. 7, line 66 through Col. 8, line 20) except the specific teaching of collecting data related to the sale of goods from a plurality of stores, calculating the price for the goods utilizing the electronic order forms and the invoices, and auditing the price.

Shavit et al. teach a supply chain management framework whereby data related to the sale of goods is collected from a plurality of stores in an interactive system.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Freeman to collect data related to the sale of goods from a plurality of stores, in view of Shavit, in order to provide "dramatic new efficiencies" by the

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 09/815,590

Art Unit: 3627

configuration of on-line interactive concurrent electronic services for buyers and suppliers within an industry (See Shavit, Col. 1, lines 60-68).

The Examiner takes Official Notice that it is well known in business to audit prices by calculating the price of goods using order forms and invoices and comparing prices with a predetermined amount; in order for a buyer to ensure that he/she is not getting overcharged for goods.

#### Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to F. Ryan Zeender whose telephone number is (703) 308-8351. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 8am-5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Bob Olszewski can be reached on (703) 308-5183. The receptionist's phone number for the Technology center is (703) 308-1113.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

P. 2 ------ 9/29/05 F. Zeender

Primary Examiner, A.U. 3627

September 29, 2003